





Tri-Borough Equality Impact Analysis Tool

Conducting an Equality Impact Analysis

An EqIA is an improvement process which helps to determine whether our policies, practices, or new proposals will impact on, or affect different groups or communities. It enables officers to assess whether the impacts are positive, negative or unlikely to have a significant impact on each of the protected characteristic groups.

The tool has been updated to reflect the new public sector equality duty (PSED). The Duty highlights three areas in which public bodies must show compliance. It states that a public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to:

1. Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited under this Act;

- 2. Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- 3. Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Whilst working on your Equality Impact Assessment, you must analyse your proposal against the three tenets of the Equality Duty.

General points

- 1. In the case of matters such as service closures or reductions, considerable thought will need to be given to any potential equality impacts. Case law has established that due regard cannot be demonstrated after the decision has been taken. Your EIA should be considered at the outset and throughout the development of your proposal, it should demonstrably inform the decision, and be made available when the decision is recommended.
- 2. Wherever appropriate, the outcome of the EIA should be summarised in the Cabinet/Cabinet Member report and equalities issues dealt with and cross referenced as appropriate within the report.
- 3. Equalities duties are fertile ground for litigation and a failure to deal with them properly can result in considerable delay, expense and reputational damage.
- 4. Where dealing with obvious equalities issues e.g. changing services to disabled people/children, take care not to lose sight of other less obvious issues for other protected groups.
- 5. If you already know that your decision is likely to be of high relevance to equality and/or be of high public interest, you should contact the Equality Officer for support.
- 6. Further advice and guidance can be accessed from the separate guidance document (link), as well as from your service or borough leads:

LBHF	RBKC	WCC
Opportunities Manager:	Corporate Equalities Officer:	Senior Policy Officer:
PEIA@lbhf.gov.uk or ext 3430	angela.chaudhry@rbkc.gov.uk	doleary@westminster.gov.uk
	020 7361 2654	020 7641 8024

Overall Information	Details of Full Equality Impact Analysis		
Financial Year and	2013/2014 – Quarter No.1		
Quarter			
Name and details	Tri-Borough Total Facilities Manageme	ent Award of Contract:-	
of policy, strategy,	In January 2012 the Cabinet approved t	he Business Case for the tendering of a	Tri-boroughs' Total Facilities
function, project,	Management Contract and London-wide	e framework agreement using the Con	npetitive Dialogue procedure
activity, or	permitted under the Public Contracts Re	egulations. Throughout the procurement	process the relevant Cabinet
programme	Member has been asked to sign-off decis	ions that need to be taken by the Council	(on behalf of the 3 boroughs)
	at the previous four key stages (selectio	n stage, conclusion of Competitive Dialo	gue solution stages rounds 1
	and 2, the invitation to submit final bids	and the appointment of the Preferred Bio	der). This is the final stage in
	the procurement process whereby this report is presented to the Cabinets at the three councils for the award of		
	the contract and framework agreement.		
Lead Officers	LBHF:-	RBKC:-	WCC:-
	Mike Cosgrave	Raj Patel	Glenn Woodhead
	Head of Professional Services &	Transformation Programme Manager	FM Strategy Manager
	Facilities Management	Email:Rajesh.Patel@rbkc.gov.uk	Email:gwoodhead
	Email: mike.cosgrave@lbhf.gov.uk	Telephone No: 020 7361 2853	@westminster.gov.uk
	Telephone No:020 8753 4849		Telephone No: 020 7641
			6270
Lead Borough	Hammersmith & Fulham Council are the	procuring authority – Mike Cosgrave	
	Reported produced by Raj Patel – RBK&C		
Date of completion of final EIA	15 th April 2013		

Section 02	Scoping of Full EIA
Plan for completion	Following the evaluation of the final bids by the Tender Appraisal Panel, which was reported to the Project Board, the appointment of the Preferred Bidder was approved by the Leader of Hammersmith & Fulham Council. In accordance with the procurement process, discussions are now taking place with the Preferred Bidder (Amey Community Ltd) in order to finalise the contract and associated documentation, in preparation for the contract award by the three Cabinets, which is the subject of this report. Following the Award of Contract and the expiration of the "Alcatel" period it is anticipated that the parties will work towards the engrossment of the contract in June / July 2013. The contractor will be

	working alongside the ICF during the mobilisation period with an operable date of Sept/Oct 2013.		
Analyse the impact of the policy, strategy, function, project, activity, or programme	appear in more th	act of the policy on the protected characteristics (including where people han one protected characteristic). You should use this to determine whether we, neutral or negative impact on equality, giving due regard to relevance	her the policy
	Protected characteristic	Borough Analysis	Impact: Positive, Negative, Neutral
	Age	LBHF :- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, irrespective of their age. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	Neutral
		RBKC:- Ditto	
		WCC:- Ditto	
	Disability	LBHF:- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project with particular attention being emphasised in respect to the delivery of all statutory compliance areas e.g. Equality Act 2010.	Neutral
		RBKC:- Ditto	
		WCC:- Ditto	
	Gender reassignment	LBHF:- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, irrespective of their gender. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of	Neutral

	the service delivery specification for this project.	
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	
Marriage and	LBHF:-	
Civil Partnership	The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, regardless of their marriage/civil partnership status. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	Neutral
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	
Pregnancy	LBHF:-	
and maternity	The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, Irrespective of their personal circumstances. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	Neutral
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	
Race	LBHF:- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, regardless of their race. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	Neutral
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	
Religion/belief (including non- belief)	LBHF:- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality	Neutral

	services to all sectors of the community, regardless of their religious beliefs. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	
Sex	LBHF:- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, regardless of their sex. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	Neutral
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	
Sexual Orientation	LBHF:- The contract is structured so as to provide a safe and reliable support services, thereby enabling the delivery of high quality services to all sectors of the community, regardless of their sexual orientation. The requirements and needs of the community are at the forefront of the service delivery specification for this project.	Neutral
	RBKC:- Ditto	
	WCC:- Ditto	

Section 03	Analysis of relevant data
	Examples of data can range from census data to customer satisfaction surveys. Data should involve specialist data
	and information and where possible, be disaggregated by different equality strands.
Documents and	LBHF/ RBKC / WCC
data reviewed	Contract Control Documents, Contract documents, OJEU Documentation, PQQ documentation, contractor's submissions / authenticated documents detailing marking procedure and outcome of PQQ assessment, Results of Competitive Dialogue process, documentation and authenticated documents marking procedure and outcome of assessment of bids, Details of financial appraisal process and table of analysis data.
	Supporting documents for report:-
	Appendix A: Scope of functions and scope of services
	Appendix B: Contractual Set-up
	Appendix C: ICF Organisation Structure
	Appendix D: Governance Arrangements
	Appendix E: Inter-Authority Governance Options
	Appendix F: Inter-Authority Agreement Timeline
	Appendix G: Principles of the Inter-Authority Agreement
	Appendix H: Tri-Borough TFM Cost Allocation Methodology
	Appendix I: Background Information on Amey Community Limited
New research	If new research is required, please complete this section LBHF / RBKC / WCC
	Not applicable

Section 04	Consultation
	Complete this section if you have decided to supplement existing data by carrying out additional
	consultation.
Consultation in each	LBHF / RBKC / WCC:
borough	Consultation has been carried out with the various stakeholder groups from each council including
	Councillors, Chief Officers and staff affected by the service transfer to the TFM contractor via TUPE
	Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 (TUPE) which will apply. The
	Council will ensure that all TUPE and other related employment legislation including statutory consultation
	with the affected staff and trade unions will be applied to the letting of this contract. There has also been

	consultation with the existing service providers, who were given the opportunity to meet the contractors who were tendering for the TFM contract.
Analysis of consultation outcomes for each borough	LBHF / RBKC / WCC: This imitative has been well received by Councillors and Chief Officers across Tri-Borough and the tender results as detailed in the report will deliver significant cost reductions, potential service improvement, improvements to compliance management and overall business continuity in respect to the delivery of FM services across the Tri-Borough Estate.

Section 05	Analysis of impact and outcomes
Analysis	LBHF / RBKC / WCC:
	What has your consultation (if undertaken) and analysis of data shown? You will need to make an informed assessment about the actual or likely impact that the policy, proposal or service will have on each of the protected characteristic groups by using the information you have gathered. The weight given to each protected characteristic should be proportionate to the relevant policy (see guidance).
	The market testing of the Tri-Borough FM services has confirmed that the advantages originally envisaged at the conception of this imitative, are achievable and that the delivery vehicle of a TFM (via an external contractor) and managed by a single Tri-Borough client organisation (Hosted by RBK&C) based upon an ICF model is the correct business model to follow.

Section 06	Reducing any adverse impacts and recommendations
Outcome of Analysis	Include any specific actions you have identified that will remove or mitigate the risk of adverse impacts and / or unlawful discrimination. This should provide the outcome for each borough, and the overall outcome. LBHF / RBKC /WCC:
	To enter into contract with Amey Community Limited.

Section 07	Action Plan
Action Plan	Note: You will only need to use this section if you have identified actions as a result of your analysis

Issue identified	Action (s) to be taken	When	Lead officer and borough	Expected outcome	Date added to business/service plan

Section 08	Agreement, publication and monitoring					
Chief Officers' sign-	LBHF:-	RBKC:-	WCC:-			
off	Maureen McDonald-Khan	Michael Clark MBA, MRICS	Richard Platt			
	Director of Building and Property	Director for Corporate Property	Head of Property			
	Management	and Customer Services	WCC			
	Hammersmith and Fulham Council	Lead Director Tri-Borough TFM	City Hall			
	6th Floor Hammersmith Town Hall	Royal Borough of Kensington and	64 Victoria Street			
	King Street	Chelsea	London			
	Hammersmith	E-mail:	SW1E 6QP			
	London W6 9JU	Michael.Clark@rbkc.gov.uk	E mail: rplatt@westminster.gov.uk			
	E-mail: Maureen.McDonald-	Mob: 079 605 79967	Tel 020 7641 9828			
	Khan@lbhf.gov.uk					
	<u>Tel:020</u> 8753 4701					
Key Decision Report	LBHF:-	RBKC:-	WCC:-			
(if relevant)	Date of report to Cabinet -	Date of report to Cabinet-	Date of report to Cabinet -			
	13/05/2013	02/05/2013	13/05/2013			
	Key equalities issues have been	Key equalities issues have been	Key equalities issues have been			
	included: Yes	included: Yes	included: Yes			
Lead Equality	LBHF:-	RBKC:-	WCC:-			
Manager (where	No direct involvement.	No direct involvement.	No direct involvement.			
involved)						